THE CONCEPT OF PARAMETER IN A COMPUTER ALGEBRA ENVIRONMENT

Paul Drijvers Freudenthal Institute, Utrecht University <<u>P.Drijvers@fi.uu.nl</u>>

The study that is presented here concerns the learning of algebra in a computer algebra environment and, more specific, the learning of the concept of parameter. Students of 14 - 15 years old used a TI-89 symbolic calculator during a five-week period. They studied the parameter in different roles such as placeholder, changing quantity and generalizer. The results indicate that using parameters in the computer algebra environment requires a clear view on the roles of the different letters. Also, the reification of a formula seems to be important for an appropriate instrumentation.

Introduction

Algebra and computer algebra are two issues that are subject of many discussions among mathematics educators nowadays. Of course, these topics are related to each other: as the availability of (hand held) computer algebra devices is increasing, the question is raised what aspects of algebra lose relevance and which algebraic concepts or techniques are indispensable for mathematical thinking. The idea that the computer algebra tool just takes over the algebraic work has shown to be too simplistic. Rather, there seems to be a subtle interplay between algebraic considerations of the user and the procedures that can be left over to the technological tool. Therefore, a computer algebra environment might even provoke the development of algebraic concepts, techniques and strategies.

In the study that is presented here, this idea of using computer algebra for the learning of algebra is explored for the case of the learning of the notion of parameters in algebraic relations and solutions. First, the aim of the research is explained. Then we present the theoretical framework, followed by the didactical scenario. After some information on the research method and the experiment, two episodes of student behavior are described, that concern the solution of systems of equations that contain parameters. A conclusion finishes the paper.

The research project

The study presented here is part of an ongoing research project called 'The learning of algebra in a computer algebra environment'. The general research question of this project is:

How can the use of computer algebra promote the insight in algebraic operations and concepts?

This question is specified in two sub-questions:

- 1. How can the use of a computer algebra system contribute to a higher-level understanding of parameters as they appear in algebraic expressions and functions?
- 2. How does instrumentation of computer algebra take place and what is the role of the relation between machine technique and mathematical conception?

Why parameters?

A reconsideration of algebra education is currently taking place in the Netherlands as well as in many other countries. For a better understanding of the background of this paper we need to explain the approach of algebra in The Netherlands. The introduction in algebra during the first years of secondary education (students of 12 - 15 years old) takes place in a careful way. Much attention is paid to the exploration of realistic situations, to the process of mathematization and to the development of informal

problem solving strategies. Variables, for example, are often words that have a direct relationship with the context where they come from. The translation of the problem situation into mathematics is an important point, whereas the formalization is delayed. Although this is a good pedagogical policy in general, as a consequence the algebraic skills such as formal manipulation are developed relatively late and to a limited extent. This may cause some difficulties at upper secondary level, especially for students at the highest and most theoretical level. Therefore, a reconsideration of algebra education is taking place, and the role of computer algebra is a part of that.

The conception of the parameter can be a suitable topic to try to bridge this gap. Variables and parameters are in the heart of algebra. The parameter is an 'extra' variable in an algebraic expression or function that generalizes over a class of expressions, over a family of functions, over a sheaf of graphs. The parameter can be considered as a meta-variable: the *a* in y = a.x + b can play the same roles as an 'ordinary' variable, such as placeholder, unknown or changing quantity, but it acts on a higher level than is the case for a variable. For example, a change of the parameter value does not affect one single point locally, but the complete graph globally. The different roles of the variable are resurfaced, but now at a higher level, and the generic function becomes the object of study. The concept of parameter, therefore, is adequate for enhancing the abstraction of concrete situations, so that the more formal and general algebraic representation can become a natural part of the students' mathematical world.

Why computer algebra?

Taking into account the affordances of technology in general, and the algebraic capacities of computer algebra in particular, it seems obvious to use a computer algebra system (CAS) for the purpose mentioned above. It can serve as a powerful and open algebra environment that allows students to concentrate on the concepts and the problem solving strategy. We conjecture that performing procedures in the computer algebra environment contributes to the development of insight in algebraic operations and concepts such as substitution and the distinction of the different roles of letters. Using the machine, the students don't have to worry about the calculations and this may enhance a more global conception of the problem solving procedures.

On the other hand, however, computer algebra can be demanding in its use. Guin and Trouche (1999, p. 205) pointed out that an adequate use of computer algebra tools requires making explicit the different roles of the letters to a further extent than is the case for paper and pencil work. This kind of explicitness that computer algebra demands is a burden but in the mean time it can stimulate the student to handle the operations more consciously.

Furthermore, from the perspective of Realistic Mathematics Education the integration of computer algebra is not a trivial matter. In Drijvers (2000) the issue is raised whether the development of informal strategies and the process of vertical mathematization, that are so important in this educational theory (e. g. see Gravemeijer, 1994), are stimulated in a computer algebra environment. As far as the informal strategies are concerned, it seems that the computer algebra environment does not support them. For vertical mathematization the CAS seems more appropriate.

Previous research and theoretical framework

Previous research

Much research has been done into the learning of algebra in general and, more specifically, on the notions of variables and parameters (e.g. see Küchemann 1981, Usiskin 1988, Wagner 1981, Warren 1999). Tall and Thomas (1991) provide a short overview of difficulties with algebra in general and stress the relevance of algebra:

... there is a stage in the curriculum when the introduction of algebra may make simple things hard, but not teaching algebra will soon render it impossible to make hard things simple. (Tall and Thomas, 1991, p. 128)

Concerning variables, one can find classifications of the different roles of variables such as unknown, indefinite, generalized number, dynamical variable and parameter in many publications (e.g. Usiskin, 1988). Küchemann (1981) distinguishes between the variable that is changing dynamically and the

variable that is representing a set. This distinction can also be applied to the parameter that can be considered as a 'sliding parameter' or as a 'family parameter'.

Not so many studies have been published on the learning of the parameter. Bloedy-Vinner (1994) stresses the hierarchy of substitution and the implicit quantifier structure that is often involved while using parameters. These 'hidden quantifiers' also are described by Furinghetti and Paola (1994), who state that parameters are conceptually more difficult than variables.

Several studies have been devoted to the use of the computer for the improvement of the learning of algebra in general, and that of the concept of the variable in particular. Tall and Thomas (1991) use a computer environment as a generic organizer of examples and non-examples. They claim that the understanding of algebraic concepts had significantly improved. Graham and Thomas (2000) successfully used the graphing calculator to stress the placeholder-role of the variable. Boers-Van Oosterum (1990) also claimed to improve the conception of the variable by using different software packages. Brown (1998) used a computer algebra environment for generalization of patterns, for solving equations step by step and for solving number problems. None of these studies used information and communications technology tools (ICT-tools) for the learning of the concept of the parameter, as is done in the project described here.

Theoretical framework

An important part of the theoretical framework of this study is the theory of the *instrumentation* of ICT-tools. Following French mathematics educationalists (Artigue 1997, Lagrange 1999abc, Guin & Trouche 1999, Trouche 2000), we consider the development of instrumentation schemes as a crucial and non-trivial step in the appropriation of an ICT-tool. In the acquisition of such schemes, technical skills and mathematical conceptions are interwoven. Examples of this complex relationship can be found in Drijvers & Van Herwaarden (2001).

A second part of the theoretical framework concerns the dual character of mathematical concepts that have both a procedural and a structural aspect. Sfard (1991) uses the word *reification* for the gradual development of a process becoming an object. In the function concept, for example, the process of calculating function values may develop into the image of a function as an object that is represented by a formula or a graph. Sfard and Linchevski (1994) elaborated this for the case of algebra. Close to the idea of reification is the encapsulation that is described by Dubinsky (1991). Dubinsky states that encapsulation of processes into objects is an important step in reflective abstraction. He suggests that performing processes using a computer may stimulate its encapsulation. As a third means of representing the bilateral nature of mathematical entities we mention the procept that has been developed by Gray and Tall (1994). 'Procept' is a contamination of process and concept. The authors stress the flexibility that learners of mathematics need in order to be able to deal with the ambiguity of mathematical notations. In 3+5, the + may be an invitation to perform the process of addition, whereas in a+b the + is only a symbol that defines the object 'the sum of a and b'.

It is our conviction that the theories of reification, encapsulation and procept are very relevant to the learning of algebra and to the instrumentation of computer algebra tools. For the students, the mathematical entities in such an environment may tend to have a structural character, whereas the processes are more distant to the objects than is the case with work using the traditional paper-and-pencil.

Methodology and didactical scenario

Research design and methodology

As a research paradigm, the developmental research method was used (see Gravemeijer, 1994). According to this methodology, the researcher tries to develop (local) instruction theories by means of constructing and developing thought experiments and educational experiments in the classroom situation. This involves a cumulative process of consideration and testing. Developmental research design typically makes use of qualitative, close-to-the-students, observations.

Therefore, the research method was mainly qualitative. The most important data consisted of audio recordings and field notes of classroom observations, audio recordings of mini-interviews with students, and written work of the students. The data were analyzed by coding the classroom incidents and solution

methods according to previously defined categories. While doing so the most dominant categories came up clearly.

Development of the didactical scenario

A conceptual analysis of the phenomenon parameter led to the identification of three essential steps in the learning trajectory: the parameter as a placeholder, as a changing quantity and as a generalizer. The role of a parameter as an unknown is not mentioned explicitly, as this role tends to change the hierarchy between parameter and variable. We thought it would be confusing for students to focus on this role; implicitly, however, the parameter in some situations acts as an unknown.

Table 1 summarizes the three phases. Also, it indicates by means of what kind of activities the students are supposed to pass to the next phase and how computer algebra supports these activities.

parameter role	a in y = ax + b	graphic model		
placeholder	<i>a</i> contains	one graph, that can be replaced by another 'comic' of the dynamic graph	student activity	CAS function
	one by one		systematic variation	solve equations
changing quan-tity,	<i>a</i> walks through a set		or parameter varies	graphs
parameter	dynamicany		generalization of situations and	graph sheafs
generalizer,	<i>a</i> represents a set,	a sheaf of graphs	solutions	equations
'family' parameter	generalizes over situations			<u> </u>

Table 1	Outling	of the	loorning	trajectory
Tuble 1.	Outime	or the	rearning	uajectory

Classroom experiment: aim and situation

The aims of the classroom experiment were to investigate if the students' conception of parameter would develop according to the didactical scenario and if computer algebra serves as an aid for this. Also, we were interested in the process of instrumentation of the computer algebra tool with respect to the different roles of the letters involved.

The classroom experiment took place during a five-week period in the spring of the year 2000. The subjects were 50 students of 14 - 15 years old, divided into two classes. The students were high achieving in general but not specifically in mathematics. As computer algebra tool the TI-89 symbolic calculator was used at school as well as at home. Each class had four mathematics lessons of 45 minutes each week. Because the students had no previous experience with technology such as graphing calculators, using this type of handheld technology was really new to them. Their knowledge of formal algebra was quite limited. For example, the general solution of a quadratic equation had not been taught so far, so the help of the symbolic calculator was needed in case such an equation was encountered.

Episodes of student behavior

Classroom observations indicate that it was important that students are aware of the different roles of the letters, especially if there are parameters in the equations. We saw that some students found it natural to use parameters to generalize a relation or procedure, whereas others seemed to be confused by several letters in one expression, each having a different role. The differences between the students were considerably, as is shown in the following episodes, that concern the phase when students use the computer algebra environment to solve (systems of) parametric equations.

John and Rob work at the following assignment:



The two right-angle edges of a rectangular triangle together have a length of 31 units. The hypotenuse is 25 units long.

a. How long is each of the right-angle edges?

b. Solve this problem in case the total length of the two edges is 35 instead of 31.

c. Solve the problem in general, that is without the given values of 31 and 25.

At question *c* John and Rob wrote down in their notebooks:

$$a2 + o2 = p2$$

$$o + a = s$$

$$o = s - a$$

$$a = s - o$$

Then they entered into the TI-89:

$$solve(o^2 + a^2 = p^2 | o = s - a, o).$$

The wrong letter at the end. The response of the machine was:

$$0 = -s^2 + 2.a.s - 2.a^2 + p^2$$

The boys corrected this by solving this a second time, now with respect to *a*:

$$solve(0 = -s^2 + 2.a.s - 2.a^2 + p^2, a)$$

This time John explained the choice for the letter a at the end:

"You want to know the *a*".

This way they found the solution for *a* expressed in the parameters *s* and *p*.

John and Rob introduced the parameters themselves and generalized the problem solving strategy of the concrete cases of questions a and b without difficulties. Their solution schema did not seem to be confused by the presence of the parameters. However, there were some instrumentation problems at the start that may be related to a limited consciousness of the roles of the different letters.

For others, however, the presence of parameters was an extra complication, as illustrates the following observation of Sandra. The assignment was this time to calculate the dimensions of a rectangle with given perimeter and area. Using the viewscreen Sandra tried to demonstrate to the class how the corresponding system of equations could be solved:

$$b + h = s$$
$$b * h = p.$$

First Sandra entered a re-written version of the first equation in itself:

$$solve(b + h = s | b = s - h, b)$$

The machine replied: true.

Rob commented: "She did not use the *p*, she did not use the second equation."

Sandra changed the command into:

$$solve(b + h = 20 | b = s - h, h)$$

Before the generalization the value of the parameter s had been 20, and apparently she felt the need to return to the concrete case. The result, s = 20, is logical but Sandra does not notice that. Then she realizes that Rob was right, but she entered b + h = p instead of b * h = p:

$$solve(b + h = p | b = s - h, h)$$

The machine replied s = p, which is also reasonable. At the end Sandra entered

solve
$$(b + h = s | b = p/h, h)$$

and that gave the right answer.

Sandra's behavior gives the impression that the parameters were an extra, complicating factor in the problem solving process. In earlier situations she had shown that she was able to apply this solution scheme correctly in concrete cases without parameters. It is not clear whether she really perceived a formula such as b = s - h as an object that can be substituted.

Conclusion

The conclusion of the above exemplary episodes is that the didactical scenario to use parameters for generalization was confirmed by some of the students such as John and Rob. Computer algebra was helpful to clarify the problem solving strategy. For others, the use of parameters complicates the situation to a greater extent. In order to be able to solve parametric equations, it seems to be important that students are able to perceive formulas as objects. If a formula invites to a calculation process in the eyes of the student, s/he will find a general solution containing parameters hardly satisfying. Some students were able to distinguish the roles of the different letters, whereas others seemed to be confused by the amount of variables.

The conjecture that performing procedures in the computer algebra environment would enhance the understanding of the global mathematical conceptions behind the procedures was confirmed only to a limited extent. Some students did not overcome the difficulties with the instrumentation scheme for solving systems of parametric equations. The equilibrium between paper-and-pencil work and machine work during the instrumentation process may be quite delicate, and we probably did not pay enough attention to the 'traditional' approach. Meanwhile, the teachers reported that they could benefit from the students' machine experience while treating the solution of quadratic equations after the experiment.

As a consequence for teaching, we would conjecture that the development of both the technical and the conceptual side of the instrumentation schemes deserve explicit attention. This can be done by means of student interaction, classroom discussions and demonstrations, so that the instrumentation process gets a more social character.

Note

The study that is described in this paper is supported by NWO, the Dutch National Science Foundation, under grant number 575 - 36 - 03 E. This project is part of a larger project called 'Mathematics and Information Technology' which is coordinated by Prof. K. P. E. Gravemeijer.

This paper is based on Drijvers & Van Herwaarden (2001) and has much resemblance to the author's research report that is submitted to the PME25 conference.

References

Artigue, M. (1997). Rapports entre dimensions technique et conceptuelle dans l'activité mathématique avec des systèmes de mathématiques symboliques. *Actes de l'université d'été 1996*, 19 - 40. Rennes: IREM de Rennes.

Bloedy-Vinner, H. (1994). The analgebraic mode of thinking – the case of parameter. In Da Ponte, J. P., & Matos, J. F. (Eds.) Proceedings of the eighteenth international conference for the psychology of mathematics education, vol 2, 88 – 95. Lisbon: University of Lisbon.

- Boers van Oosterum, M.A.M. (1990). Understanding of variables and their uses acquired by students in traditional and computer-intensive algebra. Dissertation University of Maryland.
- Brown, R. (1998). Using computer algebra systems to introduce algebra. *The International Journal of Computer* Algebra in Mathematics Education, 5, 147 - 160.
- Drijvers, P. (2000). Students encountering obstacles using a CAS. International Journal of Computers for Mathematical Learning, 5, 189 209.
- Drijvers, P., & Van Herwaarden, O. (2001). Instrumentation of ICT tools: the case of algebra in a computer algebra environment. *The International Journal for Computer Algebra in Mathematics Education*. 7(4), 255 275.
- Dubinsky, E. (1991). Reflective Abstraction in Advanced Mathematical Thinking. In Tall, D. (Ed.), Advanced Mathematical Thinking, 95 123. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Furinghetti, F., & Paola, D. (1994). Parameters, unknowns and variables: a little difference? In Da Ponte, J. P., & Matos, J. F. (Eds.), Proceedings of the eighteenth international conference for the Psychology of Mathematics Education, vol 2, 368 – 375. Lisbon: University of Lisbon.
- Graham, A., & Thomas, M. (2000). Building a versatile understanding of algebraic variables with a graphic calculator. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 41, 265 282.
- Gravemeijer, K. P. E. (1994). Developing realistic mathematics education. Utrecht, The Netherlands: CD-Beta press.
- Gray, E.M., & Tall, D.O. (1994). Duality, ambiguity, and flexibility: a "proceptual" view of simple arithmetic. *Journal* for Research in Mathematics Education 25, 116 140.
- Guin, D., & Trouche, L. (1999). The complex process of converting tools into mathematical instruments: the case of calculators. *International Journal of Computers for Mathematical Learning* 3, 195 227.
- Küchemann, D.E. (1981). Algebra. In: Hart, K.M. (Ed.), *Children's understanding of mathematics: 11 16*, 102 119. London: John Murray.
- Lagrange, J.-b. (1999a). Learning pre-calculus with complex calculators: mediation and instrumental genesis. In: Zaslavsky, O. (Ed.), Proceedings of the XXIIIrd conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education Vol 3, 193 - 200. Haifa, Israel: Technion.
- Lagrange, J.-b. (1999b). Complex calculators in the classroom: theoretical and practical reflections on teaching precalculus. *International Journal of Computers for Mathematical Learning* 4, 51 – 81.
- Lagrange, J.-b. (1999c). Techniques and concepts in pre-calculus using CAS: a two-year classroom experiment with the TI-92. *The International Journal of Computer Algebra in Mathematics Education* 6(2), 143 165.
- Sfard, A. (1991). On the dual nature of mathematical conceptions: reflections on processes and objects as different sides of the same coin. *Educational Studies in Mathematics* 22, 1 36.
- Sfard, A., & Linchevski, L. (1994). The gains and the pitfalls of reification the case of algebra. *Educational Studies in Mathematics* 26, 191 - 228.
- Tall, D., & Thomas, M. (1991). Encouraging versatile thinking in algebra using the computer. *Educational Studies in Mathematics* 22, 125 147.
- Trouche, L. (2000). La parabole du gaucher et la casserole à bec verseur: étude des processus d'apprentissage dans un environnement de calculatrices symboliques. *Educational Studies in Mathematics* 41, 239 264.
- Usiskin, Z. (1988). Conceptions of school algebra and uses of variables. In Coxford, A.F. (Ed.) *The ideas of algebra, K-12 (1988 Yearbook of the NCTM)*, 8-19. Reston, VA: NCTM.
- Wagner, S. (1981). Conservation of equation and function under transformation of variable. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* 12, 107 118.
- Warren, E. (1999). The concept of a variable gauging students' understanding. In: Zaslavsky, O. (Ed.), Proceedings of the XXIIIrd conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education Vol 4, 313 - 320.